Public Health Modernization Funding Formula

Conference of Local Health Officials | June 20, 2024



PHAB Incentives and Funding Subcommittee

- Current members: Bob Dannenhoffer, Jackie Leung, Veronica Irvin, Nic Powers, Heather Kaisner
- The subcommittee recommends updates to the public health modernization funding formula for LPHAs every two years
- The funding formula provides sufficient funding to all LPHAs and advances health equity through use of social and demographic indicators
- Met four times between April-June to develop recommendations for 2025-27 funding formula



Public Health Modernization LPHA Funding Formula

Funding Formula Update: May 2023

July 17, 2023

Public Health Modernization GF for Program Element 51-01

Funding period 10/1/2023-6/30/2025

Total funds available to LPHAs through the funding formula =

\$42,393,933

(2021-23 LPHA PHM investment \$33,484,623 + 2023-25 additional investment \$16,950,000) - (FY24 Q1 bridge funding \$3,640,690 + PE 51-02 regional funding \$4,400,000) = Total 21-month PE 51-01 awards

			Base component									Matching and Incentive fund components				Total county allocation												
County Group	Population ¹	ı	Floor		Burden of Disease ²	Heal	th Status ³		ace/ nicity ⁴	Pov	erty 150% FPL ⁴		Rurality ⁵	Е	ducation ⁴		ited English oficiency ⁴	Mat	ching Funds	Ince	ntives	To	otal Award	Award Percentage	% of Total Population	Award P Capita		Avg Award Per Capita
Wheeler	1,436	\$	400,000	\$	355	\$	720	\$	1,048	\$	2,256	\$	8,677	\$	2,039	\$	577	\$	-	\$	-	\$	415,671	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 289.	16	
Gilliam	2,071	\$	400,000	\$	750	\$	575	\$	1,349	\$	2,636	\$	12,514	\$	1,856	\$	24	\$	-	\$	-	\$	419,704	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 202.0	56	
Wallowa	7,541	\$	400,000	\$	2,231	\$	1,756	\$	3,439	\$	6,478	\$	45,567	\$	6,168	\$	1,254	\$	-	\$	-	\$	466,892	1.1%	0.2%	\$ 61.9	11	
Harney	7,640	\$	400,000	\$	3,411	\$	2,106	\$	4,712	\$	12,388	\$	20,470	\$	9,724	\$	1,745	\$	-	\$	-	\$	454,557	1.1%	0.2%	\$ 59.5	50	
Grant	7,337	\$	400,000	\$	3,212	\$	1,859	\$	3,234	\$	9,768	\$	44,334	\$	9,481	\$	1,906	\$	-	\$	-	\$	473,793	1.1%	0.2%	\$ 64.5	8	
Lake	8,246	\$	400,000	\$	3,913	\$	3,379	\$	5,900	\$	14,105	\$	31,556	\$	15,703	\$	6,217	\$	-	\$	-	\$	480,773	1.1%	0.2%	\$ 58.3	30	
Morrow	12,315	\$	400,000	\$	4,118	\$	7,754	\$	18,333	\$	20,397	\$	34,133	\$	37,915	\$	40,089	\$	-	\$	-	\$	562,739	1.3%	0.3%	\$ 45.7	70	
Baker	17,148	\$	400,000	\$	7,610	\$	5,814	\$	8,946	\$	25,085	\$	42,490	\$	21,583	\$	3,163	\$	-	\$	-	\$	514,691	1.2%	0.4%	\$ 30.0	11 5	\$ 59.45
Crook	26,162	\$	400,000	\$	10,332	\$	11,745	\$	12,836	\$	28,723	\$	75,908	\$	32,723	\$	2,705	\$	-	\$	-	\$	574,971	1.4%	0.6%	\$ 21.9	98	
Curry	23,897		400,000	\$	12,029	\$	7,879		15,306	\$	30,850	\$	55,928	\$	26,396		15,947	\$	-	\$	-	\$	564,336	1.3%	0.6%	\$ 23.0	52	
efferson	25,404	\$	400,000	\$	12,611	\$	13,061	\$	50,538	\$	34,815	\$	96,895	\$	42,170	\$	29,504	\$	-	\$	-	\$	679,593	1.6%	0.6%	\$ 26.7	75	
Hood River	23,894	\$	400,000	\$	5,497	\$	6,187	\$	26,823	\$	21,023	\$	75,330	\$	54,663	\$	72,955	\$	-	\$	-	\$	662,479	1.6%	0.6%	\$ 27.7	/3	
Tillamook	27,868	\$	400,000	\$	11,606	\$	9,708	\$	17,007	\$	32,584	\$	117,209	\$	35,516	\$	28,266	\$	-	\$	-	\$	651,895	1.5%	0.7%	\$ 23.3	39	
Union	26,673	\$	400,000	\$	10,820	\$	8,695	\$	14,685	\$	37,739	\$	67,848	\$	26,421	\$	7,105	\$		\$	-	\$	573,313	1.4%	0.6%	\$ 21.4	19	
Sherman, Wasco	28,733	\$	800,000	\$	11,719	\$	10,223	\$	50,048	\$	74,058	\$	231,030	\$	100,687	\$	40,916	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,318,680	3.1%	0.7%	\$ 45.8	39	
Malheur	32,095	\$	400,000	\$	12,774	\$	13,990	\$	39,668	\$	61,210	\$	93,899	\$	81,131	\$	56,615	\$	-	\$	-	\$	759,288	1.8%	0.8%	\$ 23.6	56	
Clatsop	41,971	\$	400,000	\$	17,052	\$	14,620	\$	29,993	\$	47,614	\$	98,839	\$	45,092	\$	20,379	\$	-	\$	-	\$	673,589	1.6%	1.0%	\$ 16.0)5	
Lincoln	51,090	\$	400,000	\$	24,708	\$	17,892	\$	43,806	\$	66,132	\$	116,044	\$	50,644	\$	27,068	\$		\$	-	\$	746,295	1.8%	1.2%	\$ 14.6	51	
Columbia	53,156	\$	400,000	\$	19,185	\$	18,418		33,571		52,266	\$	140,081	\$	69,287		5,875	\$	-	\$	-	\$	738,683	1.7%	1.2%	\$ 13.9	90	
Coos	65,112		400,000	\$	31,083	\$	25,471		48,776	\$	100,399	\$	150,898	\$	89,293	\$	18,740	\$	-	\$	-	\$	864,661	2.0%	1.5%	\$ 13.7	28	
Klamath	_	\$	400,000	\$	36,724	Ś	24,020	Ś	71,766		123,846	\$	160,883	\$	112,543	Ś	44,516	Ś	_	\$	_	s	974,298	2.3%	1.7%	\$ 13.7	75 5	\$ 19.69
Umatilla	80,302	Ś	400,000		31,306		28,122		100,277		111,484		141,037		183,820		146,671	Ś	-	\$	-	5	1,142,718	2.7%		\$ 14.7	_	
Polk		Ś	400,000	Ś	27,252		33,414		87,591		106,402		109,079		104,252		84,168	Ś		Ś	-	s	952,157	2.2%	2.1%			
osephine		Š	400,000		43,872		30,566		55,542		151,968		240,981		111,336		31,331	\$		\$	-	s	1,065,596	2.5%	2.1%	\$ 12.0		
Benton	95,594	\$	400,000		18,586		21,725		102,300		137,691		108,386	\$	48,388		95,399	\$	-	\$	-	\$	932,475	2.2%	2.2%	\$ 9.7		
ramhill	108,993		400,000		33,634		33,704		111,252		110,742		148,865	\$	147,127		118,545	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,103,869	2.6%	2.5%	\$ 10.1		
Douglas	111,716		400,000		55,557		47,240		66,481		154,085		277,971		144,252		25,490	\$		\$	-	\$		2.8%	2.6%			
Linn	131,194	\$	400,000		50,418		45,945		97,160		169,229		250,811		175,898		76,841	\$		\$	-	\$	1,266,301	3.0%	3.1%			\$ 10.80
Deschutes		s	400,000		54,686		60,317	-	113,552		205,932		346,705		160,713	-	98,377	\$		\$		5		3.4%	4.9%			
ackson	224,013		400,000		83,844		78,034		166,102		299,960		271,433		279,320		155,847	Ś		Ś	_	1.	1,734,540	4.1%	5.2%			
Marion	348,616		400,000		112,702		142,869		529,113		482,207		275,823		682,122		763,577	\$		\$	_	Š		8.0%	8.1%			\$ 8.41
Lane	383,958		400,000		134,322		123,021		363,410		567,841		406,548		372,984		194,352	\$		\$	-	5	2,562,479	6.0%	9.0%			
Clackamas		Š	400,000		121,764		119,467		422,978		318,926		470,195		332,908		383,311	Ś	_	\$	_	Š	2,569,550	6.1%	10.1%			
Washington	606,378			Ś	131,233		177,342		1,053,383		471,368		204,823		580,158		1,085,038	\$	-	Ś	_	Š	4,103,345	9.7%	14.2%			
Multnomah	*	Š		Ś	258,779		252,058		1,267,988			Ś	65,715	Ś	844,593	Ś	1,354,394	\$		Ś		5		12.7%	18.9%		65 5	\$ 6.5
Total	4,278,913			Ś	1,399,697		1,399,697		5,038,908		5,038,908		5,038,908	Š	5,038,908	Ġ	5,038,908	S		Ś	-		42,393,933	100.0%	100.0%		91 5	

¹ Source: Portland State University Certified Population estimate July 1, 2022

County Size Bands

Extra Small Medium Large Extra Large

up to 20,000 20,000-75,000 75,000-150,000 150,000-375,(above 375,000

² Source: Premature death: Leading causes of years of potential life lost before age 75. OHA, CHS, Oregon Death Certificate data, 2017-2021.

³ Source: Quality of life: OHA, Oregon Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), county file 2016-2019

⁴ Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS), 5-year estimates, Table B02001, B15002, C16001, C17002, 2017-2021.

⁵Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census, SF1 Table P2, 2010

Base component (floor + indicator funding)

Recommendation

No changes to base component of funding formula for 2025-27

Rationale

- Increasing floor funding to \$400,000 for all LPHAs in 2023-25 appears to have been effective in ensuring that extra small/small LPHAs receive enough funding to hire staff and fulfill requirements.
- Since there was a significant increase in funds to LPHAs in 2023-25, this shift did not result in any LPHAs receiving less funds than in the previous biennium



Matching funds

Recommendations

- Implement matching <u>if funding increases</u> by 5% (\$2.35M)
- County receives matching funds if able to <u>maintain</u> local investment (increase not required)
- May include a buffer for small decreases in funding to account for standard budget fluctuations over time (e.g., 3% window)
- Counties that qualify receive matching funds proportional to county population among counties that qualified to receive matching funds

Rationale

- Given current state budget projections that indicate relatively stable funding, want to avoid reductions in base funding to LPHAs.
- Those who would not qualify for matching funds due to lack of county investments would be hardest hit by a reduction of base funding.
- Given the current national, state and local funding landscape, sufficient to incentivize maintenance of funds

Incentive funds

Recommendations

- Maintain at 1% of total funds (about \$470K at current funding level)
- Proportion of total incentive funds available to each county will be based on population size
- Allocation of available incentive funds to each county is proportional to the number of incentive metrics met (e.g., if County A meets 4 of 6 process measures, they receive 2/3 of their available incentive funding)
- Incentive funding "left on the table" (e.g., from a county not meeting all process measures) is redistributed
- To redistribute funds, we will calculate the average number of process measures met across all counties
- Counties that were above this average qualify to receive a portion of incentive funds that were "left on the table"
- The "left on the table" funds are allocated to qualifying counties based on the proportion of the population among qualifying counties



Incentive funds

Rationale

- Focus on demonstrating improvements with current investments
- Previous recommendation from PHAB to start with small amount of incentive funding as proof of concept given limited to no evidence base for pay-for-performance for public health outcomes
- Do not want "all or nothing" approach to incentives



Total biennial funding available to LPHAs through the funding formula = \$46,976,092 Reserving 1% of total for incentive funds = **\$469,761**

		To	•	cation 2023-25	T	Total county allocation with 1% reduction in base									
			(estim	nate)	funds										
County Group Population ¹			Award Total Award			Total Award	Award	Actual amt.							
, ,	ropulation		otal Award	Percentage		Total Award	Percentage		reduction						
Wheeler	1,533	\$	417,918	0.9%	\$	417,660	0.9%	\$	258						
Gilliam	2,062	\$	425,950	0.9%	\$		0.9%	\$	374						
Wallowa	7,631	\$	480,795	1.0%	\$	479,630	1.0%	\$	1,165						
Harney	7,600	\$	462,346	1.0%	\$	461,447	1.0%	\$	899						
Grant	7,418	\$	488,491	1.0%	\$	487,215	1.0%	\$	1,276						
Lake	8,562	\$	515,019	1.1%	\$	•	1.1%	\$	1,659						
Morrow	13,010	\$	652,956	1.4%	\$		1.4%	\$	3,648						
Baker	16,927	\$	526,891	1.1%	\$	•	1.1%	\$	1,830						
Crook	26,583	\$	603,877	1.3%	\$	600,937	1.3%	\$	2,940						
Curry	24,439	\$	615,159	1.3%	\$	612,057	1.3%	\$	3,103						
Jefferson	25,878	\$	729,490	1.6%	\$	724,739	1.6%	\$	4,751						
Hood River	24,406	\$	701,919	1.5%	\$	•	1.5%	\$	4,354						
Tillamook	28,000	\$	676,862	1.4%	\$	672,869	1.4%	\$	3,992						
Union	26,335	\$	601,550	1.3%	\$	598,644	1.3%	\$	2,906						
Sherman, Wasco	28,969	\$	1,206,346	2.6%	\$		2.6%	\$	5,860						
Malheur	32,981	\$	852,901	1.8%	\$	846,370	1.8%	\$	6,531						
Clatsop	42,095	\$	718,401	1.5%	\$	•	1.5%	\$	4,591						
Lincoln	51,930	\$	824,946	1.8%	\$	818,818	1.8%	\$	6,128						
Columbia	53,143	\$	787,248	1.7%	\$	•	1.7%	\$	5,584						
Coos	66,945	\$	959,903	2.0%	\$	•	2.0%	\$	8,074						
Klamath	71,919	\$	1,050,865	2.2%	\$		2.2%	\$	9,386						
Umatilla	81,842	\$	1,257,228	2.7%	\$		2.7%	\$	12,362						
Polk	90,553	\$	1,029,374	2.2%	\$	1,020,298	2.2%	\$	9,076						
Josephine	88,814	\$	1,155,479	2.5%	\$	1,144,585	2.5%	\$	10,894						
Benton	99,355	\$	1,037,992	2.2%	\$	1,028,792	2.2%	\$	9,200						
Yamhill	109,743	\$	1,286,532	2.7%	\$	1,273,748	2.7%	\$	12,784						
Douglas	113,748	\$	1,291,121	2.7%	\$		2.7%	\$	12,850						
Linn	131,984	\$	1,426,892	3.0%	\$, ,	3.0%	\$	14,808						
Deschutes	212,141	\$	1,640,750	3.5%	\$		3.5%	\$	17,892						
Jackson	222,762	\$	1,923,195	4.1%	\$		4.1%	\$	21,965						
Marion	352,249	\$	4,000,705	8.5%	\$		8.5%	\$	51,924						
Lane	384,374	\$	2,916,239	6.2%	\$		6.2%	\$	36,285						
Clackamas	424,043	\$	2,822,032	6.0%	\$		6.0%	\$	34,927						
Washington	610,245	\$	4,813,212	10.2%	\$	4,749,571	10.2%	\$	63,640						
Multnomah	801,306	\$	6,075,508	12.9%	\$	5,993,665	12.9%	\$	81,843						
Total	4,291,525	\$	46,976,092	100.0%	\$	46,506,331	100.0%	\$	469,761						

