Public health modernization funding formula Survey of LPHAs

January 2022

Background:

Every other year, the Public Health Advisory Board makes updates to the funding formula used to distribute public health modernization and other funds to LPHAs. OHA is conducting a survey of LPHAs to solicit input for PHAB to consider when making updates to the funding formula in 2020.

LPHA feedback for PHAB:

- 1. To what extent does the funding formula provide funds equitably to each LPHA to fulfill PE 51 requirements?
- 2. To what extent is the funding formula being used to direct funds toward eliminating health inequities?
- 3. What changes or improvements are needed for 2023?

Related links:

- 4. ORS 431.380
- 5. PHAB Funding Principles
- 6. CLHO Systems and Innovation Funding Principles Checklist

Draft survey questions:

Question 1: Compared to other county size bands, counties in my size band receive enough funding to conduct the work included in Program Element 51. (likert scale)

Question 1b: What changes are needed to the funding formula so that counties in each size band receive enough funding to fulfill PE 51 requirements? (multiple choice)

- Increase floor funding to provide a minimum amount for FTE. Increase the FTE supported through floor funding for each additional \$10 million increment in funding.
- Define core positions that should be funded for PE 51 and factor related costs into the funding formula.
- Look at ways to use the funding formula to support regional partnerships, cross jurisdictional sharing arrangements or other shared service delivery models in order to address workforce hiring and retention challenges.
- Look at ways to factor in funding to CBOs that supports PE 51 requirements.
- Other

Question 2: The funding formula includes six indicators of health outcomes and demographic factors. Indicators are intended to eliminate health inequities by allocating funds to areas with poorer health outcomes or areas where the LPHA may require additional capacity to meet the needs of the community. PHAB could take the following steps to allocate funds for eliminating health inequities by:

- Allocating proportionally more funds to counties with lower ranks on health status indicators.
- Allocating proportionally more funds to counties that require additional capacity to meet the needs of community members based on demographic indicators.
- Other

Question 3: For the 2023-25 funding formula, I would like PHAB to

- Keep the proportion of funds allocated to floor funding and health status and demographic indicators the same as in 2021-23.
- <u>Increase</u> the proportion of funds allocated to <u>floor funding</u>, so that the minimum amount received by each LPHA is increased.
- <u>Increase</u> the proportion of funds allocated to demographic and health status <u>indicators</u> so that more funding is directed to eliminating health inequities.
- Other

Public Health Modernization LPHA Funding Formula

Funding Formula update: March 2021

Public health modernization GF for Program Element 51-01

Fundinfg period 10/1/21-6/30/23

Total funds available to LPHAs

\$28,502,602

		Base component													Matching and Incentive fund components				Total county allocation							
County Group	Population ¹		Floor		urden of Disease ²	Health Sta	tus³	Race/ Ethnicity ⁴	Pov	verty 150% FPL ⁴		Rurality ⁵	Educatio	1 ⁴	Limited Er Proficier	٠.	Matching Funds	S	Incentives	To	otal Award	Award Percentage	% of Total Population	Awar Ca _l	d Per pita	Avg Award Per Capita
Wheeler	1,440	\$	84,818	\$	1,323	\$ 2	,595	\$ 517	\$	950	\$	7,031	\$	537	\$	16	\$ -	\$	-	\$	97,787	0.3%	0.0%	\$ (67.91	
Wallowa	7,160	\$	84,818	\$	6,856	\$ 5	,756	\$ 2,058	\$	3,495	\$	34,960	\$ 2	526	\$	1,210	\$ -	\$	-	\$	141,679	0.5%	0.2%	\$:	19.79	
Harney	7,280	\$	84,818	\$	9,814	\$ 4	,792	\$ 3,852	\$	3,916	\$	15,747	\$ 3	499	\$	1,710	\$ -	\$	-	\$	128,147	0.4%	0.2%	\$:	17.60	
Grant	7,315	\$	84,818	\$	8,166	\$ 6	,118	\$ 2,264	\$	3,929	\$	35,716	\$ 3	863	\$	710	\$ -	\$	-	\$	145,584	0.5%	0.2%	\$:	19.90	
Lake	8,075	\$	84,818	\$	10,576	\$ 7	,799	\$ 4,207	\$	5,139	\$	24,957	\$ 4	977	\$	2,237	\$ -	\$	-	\$	144,710	0.5%	0.2%	\$:	17.92	
Morrow	12,825	\$	84,818	\$	12,019	\$ 21	,590	\$ 8,513	\$	7,344	\$	28,742	\$ 14	892	\$	30,316	\$ -	\$	-	\$	208,234	0.7%	0.3%	\$:	16.24	
Baker	16,910	\$	84,818	\$	20,534	\$ 17	,244	\$ 6,952	\$	8,791	\$	33,852	\$ 8	262	\$	3,587	\$ -	\$	-	\$	184,040	0.6%	0.4%	\$:	10.88	\$ 17.21
Crook	23,440	\$	127,227	\$	27,594	\$ 29	,088	\$ 9,846	\$	12,292	\$	54,935	\$ 13	712	\$	4,663	\$ -	\$	-	\$	279,359	1.0%	0.5%	\$:	11.92	
Curry	23,005	\$	127,227	\$	32,039	\$ 25	,321	\$ 12,416	\$	10,811	\$	43,470	\$ 11	844	\$	4,667	\$ -	\$	-	\$	267,795	0.9%	0.5%	\$:	11.64	
Jefferson	24,105	\$	127,227	\$	29,159	\$ 17	,818	\$ 40,587	\$	14,260	\$	74,266	\$ 15	877	\$	18,463	\$ -	\$	-	\$	337,658	1.2%	0.6%	\$:	14.01	
Hood River	25,640	\$	127,227	\$	17,141	\$ 22	,688	\$ 20,000	\$	10,350	\$	65,349	\$ 22	846	\$	61,317	\$ -	\$	-	\$	346,920	1.2%	0.6%	\$:	13.53	
Tillamook	26,530	\$	127,227	\$	30,693	\$ 24	,191	\$ 11,595	\$	13,154	\$	90,157	\$ 12	082	\$	12,891	\$ -	\$	-	\$	321,990	1.1%	0.6%	\$:	12.14	
Union	26,840	\$	127,227	\$	29,411	\$ 15	,351	\$ 12,406	\$	15,937	\$	55,172	\$ 9	710	\$	6,678	\$ -	\$	-	\$	271,891	1.0%	0.6%	\$:	10.13	
Gilliam, Sherman, Wasco	31,080	\$	296,864	\$	35,716	\$ 23	,142	\$ 21,638	\$	14,452	\$	62,977	\$ 19	756	\$	26,211	\$ -	\$	-	\$	500,755	1.8%	0.7%	\$:	16.11	
Malheur	32,105	\$	127,227	\$	34,920	\$ 46	,944	\$ 20,417	\$	22,855	\$	75,870	\$ 28	849	\$	38,895	\$ -	\$	-	\$	395,978	1.4%	0.8%	\$:	12.33	
Clatsop	39,455	\$	127,227	\$	45,412	\$ 31	,932	\$ 20,200	\$	17,645	\$	75,131	\$ 15	657	\$	18,702	\$ -	\$	-	\$	351,906	1.2%	0.9%	\$	8.92	
Lincoln	48,305	\$	127,227	\$	66,488	\$ 55	,515	\$ 33,218	\$	26,454	\$	88,682	\$ 21	390	\$	14,777	\$ -	\$	-	\$	433,750	1.5%	1.1%	\$	8.98	
Columbia	53,280	\$	127,227	\$	53,910	\$ 58	3,932	\$ 22,217	\$	21,855	\$	113,424	\$ 24	025	\$	11,116	\$ -	\$	-	\$	432,706	1.5%	1.2%	\$	8.12	
Coos	63,315	\$	127,227	\$	87,680	\$ 73	,106	\$ 43,058	\$	36,888	\$	118,711	\$ 33	212	\$	14,508	\$ -	\$	-	\$	534,391	1.9%	1.5%	\$	8.44	
Klamath	68,075	\$	127,227	\$	92,749	\$ 63	,911	\$ 47,569	\$	44,051	\$	124,977	\$ 40	331	\$	35,214	\$ -	\$	-	\$	576,029	2.0%	1.6%	\$	8.46	\$ 10.41
Umatilla	81,495	\$	169,636	\$	81,259	\$ 80	,907	\$ 68,851	\$	46,817	\$	115,792	\$ 68	311	\$ 1	32,657	\$ -	\$	-	\$	764,229	2.7%	1.9%	\$	9.38	
Polk	83,805	\$	169,636	\$	70,846	\$ 82	,748	\$ 58,628	\$	38,329	\$	81,429	\$ 37	704	\$	66,338	\$ -	\$	-	\$	605,657	2.1%	2.0%	\$	7.23	
Josephine	86,560	\$	169,636	\$	120,838	\$ 101	,814	\$ 40,049	\$	56,557	\$	190,188	\$ 39	866	\$	17,567	\$ -	\$	-	\$	736,517	2.6%	2.0%	\$	8.51	
Benton	94,665	\$	169,636	\$	54,173	\$ 57	,717	\$ 79,132	\$	51,444	\$	86,896	\$ 20	302	\$	69,830	\$ -	\$	-	\$	589,130	2.1%	2.2%	\$	6.22	
Yamhill	108,605	\$	169,636	\$	96,041	\$ 107	,821	\$ 73,874	\$	45,657	\$	119,843	\$ 60	014	\$	88,793	\$ -	\$	-	\$	761,678	2.7%	2.5%	\$	7.01	
Douglas	112,530	\$	169,636	\$	159,823	\$ 134	,790	\$ 49,012	\$	60,899	\$	226,370	\$ 57	646	\$	20,654	\$ -	\$	-	\$	878,832	3.1%	2.6%	\$	7.81	
Linn	127,320	\$	169,636	\$	133,792	\$ 124	,340	\$ 71,053	\$	63,480	\$	196,443	\$ 60	416	\$	47,519	\$ -	\$	-	\$	866,679	3.0%	3.0%	\$	6.81	\$ 7.49
Deschutes	197,015	\$	212,046	\$	149,010	\$ 145	,631	\$ 74,634	\$	72,073	\$	265,498	\$ 59	959	\$	64,348	\$ -	\$	-	\$	1,043,199	3.7%	4.6%	\$	5.30	
Jackson	223,240	\$	212,046	\$	239,562	\$ 221	,628	\$ 112,174	\$	116,724	\$	219,089	\$ 108	919	\$ 1	21,449	\$ -	\$	-	\$	1,351,591	4.7%	5.2%	\$	6.05	
Marion	349,120	\$	212,046	\$	312,471	\$ 361	,669			186,579	\$	223,306	\$ 242	048		62,580	\$ -	\$	-	\$	2,485,625	8.7%	8.2%		7.12	\$ 6.34
Lane	381,365	\$	254,455	\$	365,663	\$ 341	,574	\$ 288,604	\$	213,574	\$	325,861	\$ 154	563	\$ 1	52,283	\$ -	\$; -	\$	2,096,577	7.4%	8.9%	\$	5.50	
Clackamas	426,515	\$	254,455	\$	339,869	\$ 333	,686			114,827	\$	376,935	\$ 134	917		69,913	\$ -	\$	-	\$	2,121,602	7.4%	10.0%	\$	4.97	
Washington	620,080		254,455				,196			197,379	\$	169,547		824		70,035	\$ -	\$	-	\$	3,474,742	12.2%	14.5%		5.60	
Multnomah	829,560	\$	254,455	\$	726,295		,625	\$ 1,071,343		378,083	\$	52,656	•	653	•	82,126	\$ -	\$	-	\$	4,625,234	16.2%	19.4%		5.58	\$ 5.46
Total	4,268,055	\$	5,258,730	\$	3,873,979	\$ 3,873	,979	3,873,979	\$	1,936,989	\$	3,873,979	\$ 1,936	989	\$ 3,8	73,979	\$ -	\$	-	\$	28,502,602	100.0%	100.0%	\$	6.68	\$ 6.68

 $^{^{1}}$ Source: Portland State University Certified Population estimate July 1, 2020

County Size Bands

 Extra Small
 Small
 Medium
 Large
 Extra Large

 up to 20,000
 20,000-75,000
 75,000-150,000
 150,000-375,0 above 375,000

² Source: Premature death: Leading causes of years of potential life lost before age 75. Oregon death certificate data, 2014-2018

³ Source: Quality of life: Good or excellent health, 2014-2017

⁴ Source: American Community Survey population 5-year estimate, 2014-2018

⁵ Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population estimates, 2010