

Local Government Advisory Committee (LGAC)

Friday, May 8, 2015 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Room 113 Local Government Center 1201 Court Street NE, Salem

If you wish to attend this meeting by telephone:

Conference call:	1-877-366-0711	Pass code:	50959050#
------------------	----------------	------------	-----------

Meeting Description: New data and reports on child abuse, child welfare, and Pay for Prevention.

Agenda

Item #	Page	Item	Who	Time
1		Welcome, introductions, and changes to the agenda	Chair Carlson	10:00 (5")
2		Center for Evidence-Based Policy's Newly- Released Oregon Data	Pam Curtis, CEBP Duke Shepard, CEBP	10:05 (55")
3		"Strengthening Oregon Families" report	Pamela Heisler, Children's Trust Fund Peggy Nygren, PSU	11:00 (30")
4		Emerging issues	All	11:30 (25")
5		Closing Remarks and Adjournment	Chair Carlson	11:55 (5")

1201 Court Street NE, Suite 300 | P.O. Box 12729 | Salem, Oregon 97309 | 503.585.8351 | www.aocweb.org



Health & Human Services Steering Committee (HHSSC)

Friday, May 8, 2015 12:30-2:30 pm

Room 113 1201 Court St. NE, Salem

If you wish to attend this meeting by telephone:

Conference call: 1-877-366-0711

Pass code: 50959050#

Objectives: To review agency and affiliate legislative priorities and make recommendations to the legislative committee.

Agenda

Item #	Page	Item	Who	Time
1		Welcome and introductions, approve meeting summaries	Co-Chairs Heimuller and Murdock	12:30 (5")
2		Affiliate updates and legislative priorities		12:35
		CLHO AOCMHP O4AD CVSO	Muriel Delavergne-Brown Cherryl Ramirez Jeff Hill Andy Smith	(15") (15") (15") (15")
3		DHS and Children's Ombudsman's Services	Darin Mancuso, Foster Care Ombudsman Naomi Steenson	1:35 (25")
4		DHS Child Welfare Legislative Update	Chris Edmonds	2:00 (15")
5		Legislative Issues Review	Stacy Michaelson	2:15 (10")
6		Closing remarks and adjournment	Co-Chairs Heimuller and Murdock	2:25 (10")

Next Health & Human Services Steering Committee Meeting is scheduled for June 12, 2015

1. Moderzation Bell 2. MCM 3. Funding

Strengthening Oregon Families: Advancing Knowledge to Prevent Child Abuse and Neglect

Overview of Findings May 2015

Peggy Nygren, Ph.D. Portland State University

Pamela Heisler, MPA Children's Trust Fund of Oregon

Portland State

Report Development Supported with Funding from the Cambia Health Foundation

Study Purpose



- How many children and families are served by evidence-based and/or promising child maltreatment prevention programs in Oregon?
- 2. Are there areas of the state that lack these services?
- Are there areas of the state with high rates of child maltreatment and high proportions of children at risk?

2

Studies Show.....

- Maltreatment cases most often involve the youngest children.
- Multi-level individual, family and community risk factors have negative effects on families which increase the likelihood for negative parenting behavior and risk of maltreatment.
- Accumulation of multiple risk factors is most closely associated with actual maltreatment, as opposed to one single risk factor.
- As the number of risk factors increase in both intensity and number, so do rates of child maltreatment,


_

Study Approach




Compile information on 36 Counties to examine relationships in these 3 areas:

- child abuse and neglect
- · risk factors for maltreatment
- level of program implementation by identified maltreatment prevention programs



Which Oregon Counties have a high proportion of children at high risk for abuse or neglect?


- Child poverty rate
- Unemployment rate
- Food stamp utilization rate
- Births to teen mothers
- Births to single mothers
- High rates of low education (< high school)
- Low birth weight
- Calls to Domestic Violence Hotline
- Drug related violence rate
- Violent crime rate



2

What is the current "reach rate" of specific "evidence based" and/or "promising" programs within Oregon counties?

- Circle of Security
- Effective Black Parenting Program
- Healthy Families Oregon
- Incredible Years
- Make Parenting A Pleasure
- Nurse Family Partnership
- Nurturing Parenting Program
 Relief Nurseries
- Parents as Teachers
- Parents Anonymous
- Positive Indian Parenting



What Have We Learned?

- At least 8 Oregon counties appear to serve fewer than 10% of potentially at risk children.
- These counties show varied maltreatment rates;
 - 2 have high child maltreatment rates (Linn, Klamath)
 - 5 have moderate rates (Marion, Columbia, Union, Clatsop, Lake)
 - 1 has a low rate victimization rate (Washington)

What Have We Learned?

- Only 4 counties were estimated to serve more than 50% of children ages 0-5 in poverty.
- Southern Oregon and rural communities are clearly at high risk and many have lower service penetration rates.
- Counties that have fewer than 10% of potentially at risk children being served may be especially in need of additional funding for services.

Conclusions & Implications



- Better data systems are needed to understand how many families are served.
- Several rural and frontier counties are characterized by high maltreatment rates and high levels of family risk but have few services and reach a low proportion of families at risk.
- Looking more closely at these patterns will help to identify where additional investments in prevention and other services are most needed.

13

What Can You Do?

- Strategize ongoing funding opportunities for keeping this report timely and advancing local prevention research
- Invest in local home visiting programs (e.g., Healthy Families Oregon, Nurse Family Partnership)
- Increase communications with agency partners working to strengthen children and families (e.g., DHS, County Health Departments, Early Learning Hubs).

14

Questions?

For more information on the data from this report, contact: Beth Green: beth.green@pdx.edu or Peggy Nygren: nygren@pdx.edu

Acknowledgements

Funds for report development were provided to Portland State University's Center for the Improvement of Child and Family Studies, School of Social Work, through the Children's Trust Fund of Oregon by donation of The Cambia Health Foundation.

We thank Amy Gordon for efforts on gathering program reach information and Meg Merrick and for geo-mapping expertise.

 $\label{lem:precision} Appreciation also goes to the survey participants and organizations in 36 counties who completed surveys and consultations on program reach.$

Portland State

15

Appendix C. Risk Factors by County: Data and Sources*

COUNTY	Child Poverty	Unemploy- ment	Food Stamps	Birth Teens	Single Status	< High School	LBW	DV Calls	Drug Arrests	Crime
Baker	32.7	10.5	22.8	8.1	33	11.9	7.9	2.81	215.9	33
Benton	17.1	5.8	11.3	3.5	26	6	5.5	2.85	523.1	130
Clackamas	15.9	6.8	13.4	2.8	24	7.6	5.7	0.57	155.1	118
Clatsop	27.6	7.2	22.1	2.4	38	8.2	6	6.27	769	137
Columbia	17.4	8.3	19.3	4.9	28	11.1	6	1.82	442.8	92
Coos	29.2	9.9	27.5	3.7	37	12.2	6.7	1.26	787.1	218
Crook	28.3	12.8	24.5	5	28	14.6	6.3	0.87	392.3	235
Curry	26.3	12.3	20.8	5.1	33	9.2	5.9	2.12	610	134
Deschutes	20.2	9.7	19.9	3.1	25	7	6.1	0.87	724.4	298
Douglas	28.1	11.1	26	6	36	13.2	6.5	1.54	1361.4	114
Gilliam	18.4	7.8	14.3	na	32	10.4	na	14.84	3526.3	36
Grant	27.9	13.6	17	3	27	11.2	5.6	3.15	617.4	18
Harney	29.7	14.8	20.3	2.8	22	10.6	5.6	3.15	2706.8	74
Hood River	23.2	6.2	15.4	2.3	21	17.7	4.9	0.62	498.4	70
Jackson	27.3	9.8	25.2	5.9	36	10.7	6.1	1.06	1189.5	272
Jefferson	33.3	11.8	32.8	4.2	43	16.3	6.8	0.87	487.7	106
Josephine	31.8	11.1	30.2	8	34	11.8	5.7	4.5	474.8	167
Klamath	30.8	11.4	27.1	4.2	35	12.8	8.3	4.56	776.1	248
Lake	30.1	12.1	20.7	5.4	30	13.6	7.9	4.56	1628.8	329
Lane	23.5	11.1	22.5	5.4	34	9.4	6.3	1.7	812.5	291
Lincoln	26.9	8.7	24.7	8.1	34	10.7	5.2	2.33	628.6	336
Linn	27.7	9.6	25.5	4.3	34	11.3	6.4	2.85	601.5	123
Malheur	35.1	9.3	27.7	8.2	30	20.2	6.6	0.77	888.7	193
Marion	30.6	8.2	25.3	6.9	34	16.9	5.8	1.62	304.2	242
Morrow	23	8.4	23	8.2	34	21.7	5.9	0.45	230.1	217
Multnomah	26.1	6.7	21.4	5.2	33	10.5	6.5	4.59	487.7	497
Polk	18.7	7.3	15.9	3.5	25	10.1	5.6	5.9	719.1	247
Sherman	21.3	8	17.4	na	37	9.8	na	14.84	7875.4	na
Tillamook	25.1	7.5	20.6	6.4	37	11.5	7.1	3.6	691.6	88
Umatilla	25.9	9.3	22.2	5.5	37	17.8	6.3	0.45	696.3	269
Union	20.5	8.7	20.9	1.6	28	10.7	6.9	3.81	802.3	143
Wallowa	26.8	12.1	16	3.4	37	7.7	7.9	3.81	171.1	24
Wasco	25.8	8.2	22.5	6.2	32	16.7	4.5	14.84	930	78
Washington	16	6.3	12.6	3.5	24	9.3	5.9	0.96	290.3	162
Wheeler	35.9	8.4	16.1	na	32	12.8	na	14.84	na	na
Yamhill	20.3	7.6	20.6	3.8	28	12.8	5.6	1.24	668.3	134

^{*}Data Limitations/Disclaimer: Counties vary significantly in population, size, and geography and this variance should be considered when interpreting differences among counties. Small counties may have a small number of events that can cause rates to vary considerably; such variations may not reflect significant differences in the indicators. The data presented in this report have been used to present a generalized picture of overall risk, but are not appropriate for use in statistical modeling and other analyses.

Data Sources

Number Children 0-5 in Poverty (3 Year Estimates 2010-2013). "POVERTY STATUS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS OF RELATED CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS BY FAMILY TYPE BY AGE OF RELATED CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS U.S." Census Bureau, 2010-2012 American Community Survey 3-Year Estimates. http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/index.xhtml.

Unemployment Rate (January 2014, per 1,000)- Oregonlive Interactive Maps (2014). A Picture of Poverty in Oregon. http://projects.oregonlive.com/maps/poverty.

Food Stamps Rate (January 2014, per 1,000)- Oregonlive Interactive Maps (2014). A Picture of Poverty in Oregon. http://projects.oregonlive.com/maps/poverty.

Teen Births (average for April 2013-March 2014, % of all live births) – Oregon Health Authority, Public Health Division, Center for Health Statistics (2014). Oregon Teen Pregnancies (Ages 10-17) by County of Residence, Moving Total, Rolling Rate, and 2014 Year-to-Date. https://public.health.oregon.gov/BirthDeathCertificates /VitalStatistics/TeenPregnancy/Documents/2014 10-17roll.pdf.

Single Parents (average 2008-2012 from American Community Survey, per 1,000 households) – Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: National Center for Health Statistics and Division of Behavioral Surveillance (2014). Children in Single Parent Households, County Health Rankings and Roadmaps. http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/app/oregon/2014/measure/factors/82/data.

Less than High School Education (average for 2008-2012 from American Community Survey, per 1,000). USA.com (2014). Oregon Less than a High School Education Percentage County Rank. http://www.usa.com/rank/oregon-state--less-than-a-high-school-education-percentage--county-rank.htm.

Low Birth weight (average 2005-2011, % of all live births) – Oregon Center for Health Statistics (2014). Health Outcomes – Low Birth weight, County Health Rankings and Roadmaps. www/countyhealthrankings.org/app/ Oregon/2014/measure/outcomes/37/data

Domestic Violence (2013, # of hotline calls divided by county population) - DHS Child Safety Unit (2014). Striving to Meet the Need: Summary of Services Provided by Sexual and Domestic Violence Programs in Oregon. www.oregon.gov/dhs/abuse/domestic/docs/dv-sa2013summary.pdf.

Counties were grouped together for domestic violence (hotline calls & population): Benton/Linn; Deschutes/Crook/Jefferson; Harney/Grant; Klamath/Lake; Umatilla/Morrow; Union/Wallowa; Wasco/Gilliam/Wheeler/Sherman.

Drug Arrests (2012, per 100,000) – State of Oregon, Criminal Justice Center (2014). Drug Arrest Rate, 2012. http://navigator.state.or.us/cjc.

Violent Crime (average 2009-2011, per 100,000) – Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: National Center for Health Statistics and Division of Behavioral Surveillance (2014). County Health Rankings and Roadmaps, Violent Crime Rate. http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/app/oregon/2014/measure/factors/43/data.

Used to calculate 0-5 children in poverty numbers for 10 counties:

0-17 Poverty Rate (2011, per 1,000) - Children First for Oregon (2012). 2012 Status of Oregon's Children County Data Book. http://cffo.convio.net/site/DocServer/2012_Oregon_County_Data_Book_v07.

Table 4. Catalog of 11 Prevention Programs Operating in 36 Oregon Counties*

County	COS	EBP	HFO	IY	MPP	NFP	NPP	PAT	PIP	RN	PA	% data provided
Baker			√ +		V +		V+	V+				100%
Benton	√ +		√ +	V+	√ +		V +	1	1	V+		75%
Clackamas	V +		V +	V+	V +		V+	V +	V +	V+		100%
Clatsop			√ +	V +	√ +		V +					100%
Columbia			√ +		√ +		√ +					100%
Coos			√ +	1	V +		V +	√ +				80%
Crook			V+	V	V +	√ +	V +		1			67%
Curry			V +		V		√ +	V +				75%
Deschutes	✓		√ +	V	√ +	V +	√ +	1	1	V +		56%
Douglas	V +		√ +	V+	√ +	√ +	V +	V +		V +		100%
Gilliam			√ +					√ +				100%
Grant			√ +	V +	✓			√ +				75%
Harney			√ +		√ +		V +	√ +				100%
Hood River			√ +	V +	√ +		V+	√ +				100%
Jackson			√ +		√ +		V +			V +		100%
Jefferson	✓		√ +	1	1	√ +	√ +	√	1	√ +		44%
Josephine	✓		√ +		V +		V+					75%
Klamath			√ +				√ +					100%
Lake							V+					100%
Lane	√ +		√ +	√ +	V +	√ +	V +			V +		100%
Lincoln	V		√ +		✓	√ +	V+	V +	✓			57%
Linn	9		V +	√ +			V+	1	✓	V +		67%
Malheur			√ +	✓	✓		/	1		V +		33%
Marion	√ +		√ +		V +			V +				100%
Morrow	✓.		√ +			√ +	1	√ +				60%
Multnomah	√ +	√ +	√ +	√ +	V +	V+	V+	100%				
Polk	1		√ +	V +	1		V +		1	V +		57%
Sherman	✓		√ +					√ +				67%
Tillamook	1		√ +				V					33%
Umatilla	V +		√ +	√ +		√ +	V+			V +		100%
Union	1		√ +		V+.		√ +	√				60%
Wallowa	V+		√ +		V+		V +	√ +				100%
Wasco			√ +		√ +		V+	√ +				100%
Wash	✓		V+	√ +	V+		V+	√ +				83%
Wheeler			√ +					√ +	1			100%
Yamhill	V+		√ +	1	V+		V+			√ +		100%

Notes: \checkmark = reported as operating in county; \checkmark + = known to be operating in county, data included in report; blank means no information was exchanged with respondents regarding this program.

Abbreviations: COS=CIRCLE OF SECURITY, EBP=EFFECTIVE BLACK PARENTING PROGRAM, HFO=HEALTHY FAMILIES OREGON, IY=INCREDIBLE YEARS, MPP=MAKING PARENTING A PLEASURE, NFP=NURSE-FAMILY PARTNERSHIP, NPP=NURTURING PARENTS PROGRAM, PAT=PARENTS AS TEACHERS, PIP=POSITIVE INDIAN PARENTING PROGRAMS, RN =RELIEF NURSERY, PA=PARENTS ANONYMOUS.

^{*}This list is not to be considered inclusive. It is possible that one or more of these programs may be operating despite our efforts to document program reach.

Appendix K. Sources for Program Reach Data Circle of Security

COS

- CTFO
- OPEC
- Options Counseling and Albertina Kerr
 - Mid-Willamette Valley Community Action Family Services
- Children, Youth, and Clackamas County Families & Early

(MWVCAA)

- Start/Healthy Families Clackamas Healthy Learning Hub
- COS certified trainer -County Head Start Umatilla-Morrow UMCHS)
 - Lutheran Community Services Northwest Linn/Benton
 - Family Nurturing Center
- Family Development Center
 - The Next Door

Parenting Initiative Effective Black

EBPL

From the Black Parenting Initiative Website. http://thebpi.org/.

Healthy Families of Oregon (HFO)

Summary of Findings." Green, Beth L., Tarte, Families of Oregon A Jennifer A., Croome, 2012-2013: Healthy Evaluation Results, 2014). "Statewide Jade T. (February Jerod M., Aborn, NPC Research.

Lutheran Community

Services Northwest

The Next Door

Incredible Years (IY)

- OPEC
- CTFO
- Portland Children's Levy
- Multnomah County Home Visiting Inventory

Building Healthy Families

Crook County Human

Services

Families First

Goodwill

- Commission on Children Washington County and Families
- Condon Child Care • UMCHS
 - The Next Door

Childhood Home Visiting

Program

 Maternal Infant Early Partnership (NFP)

Nurse-Family

Making Parenting a Pleasure (MPAP)

Nurturing Parents

Program (NPP)

- CTFO
- Multnomah County Home Visiting Inventory
 - Options Counseling and Family Services
 - MWVCAA
- Metropolitan Family Services
- Salem/Keizer Coalition for Equality

• UMCHS

NPP Continued MPAP Continued

Commission on Children Washington County and Families

Children, Youth, and

Families & Early

Learning Hub

Harney ESD

Clackamas County

- Southern Oregon Head Start
- Willamette Education
- Services District (WESD)
 - Douglas ESD

Southern Oregon Head

Start

Chehalem Counseling

Southern Oregon

Center

- Harney ESD
- Marion County Health
- Family Nurturing Center **Department**
 - Family Development Center
- The Next Door
- Building Healthy Families
- Portland State University, Center for Improvement of Child and Family Services, Relationship-Based Visitation Program.

Parents Anonymous

Family Services, Ruth Morrison Child and Taylor

Parents as Teachers

Portland Children's Levy

OPEC CTFO

Metropolitan Family

Services

- Portland Children's Levy
- Morrison Family Services Home Visiting Inventory
 - Lincoln County HFO

Families & Early Learning

Children, Youth, and Clackamas County

Clackamas Cnty Children, Youth, and Families & Early Learning Hub

PAT Continued

- Coos County Health Dept. * Curry County HFO.
- North-Central ESD
- Family Development UMCHS Center
- Clackamas County HFO The Next Door
- Harney County HFO Families First
 - Insights Teen Parent Program
- Community Action Head · Washington County Start


Parenting (PIP) ositive Indian


- OPEC CTFO
- Multnomah County Home
 - isiting Program
 - and Family Center (NAYA) Native American Youth
 - Rehabilitation Association of the Northwest (NARA) Native American

Relief Nurseries

- Oregon Association of Relief Nurseries
- grateful to the many others information and guidance. organizations, we are that provided contact * In addition to these

Estimated Percentage of Children Ages 0-5 in Poverty Served in 11 Pre- vention Programs: Mapped with Risk Factor Scores (circle) and Child Abuse & Neglect Rate (shade) by Oregon County





From Strengthening Oregon Families: Advancing Knowledge to Prevent Child Abuse and Neglect, Executive Summary (2014)

